Some Hackneys I have had the misfortune to ride in, around wirral lately appear to be falling apart they certainly need there suspension seeing to. The phrase 'bone shaker' comes to mind quite often. And I certainly do not feel safe and secure in them.

Some of the hackney carriages on wirral roads are positively antiques and are not poviding a good customer experience.

The age of cab would not bother me as a regular taxi user as long as it gets me home I'm happy

As long as its roadworthy and gets me home I'm happy

any for replacement 10 years for new buisness

Any vehicle that meets the passing standards should be allowed to be licensed. Hackney carriage vehicles are purpose built and have a life expectancy of well over one million miles. Bringing in an age limit upon replacement will NOT increase standards as it has to pass the same test. Newer is not better ask any TX11 or TX1111 owner. is older than the previous vehicle on a vehicle licence. This would enable a driver who has their vehicle written off in an accident or stolen and not recovered to purchase another cab without any undue financial hardship when the pay out is insufficient to replace the vehicle like for like. Insurers never pay the true value, to an owed, of any vehicle.

As long as a vehicle is able to past the MOT and compliance tests it should be licenced. This should be the case for both existing and replacement vehicles. An older vehicle that has been well looked after could be in better condition than a newer one

As long as it passes all the relevant tests.

As long as it passes MOT

As long as its in good condition i dont see a problem

as long as its safe and roadworthy and in good condition it shouldnt be a problem

as long as the vehicle complies with MOT and town hall standards

As long as the vehicle passes the criteria set out in the bylaws then there shouldn't be any problems with the age of the vehicle. The cost of a new replacement vehicle is on the rise all the time and getting out of reach of all drivers with running costs at an all time high.

I think it is all down to the condition of the vehicle.

I think there could be instances where a driver can no longer afford to run a newer model and would like to change his/her vehicle to a lower cosr older vehicle. The current policy does not enable a driver to do this. This could mean the driver being forced to run a high cost vehicle and struggle to meet payments and provide a stable income for there family. In effect if the trade becomes quieter the driver could be forced into poverty.

If a licence is able to be transferred to an older vehicle what is to stop owners from obtaining their first licence on a 3 years old vehicle then transferring it to a cheaper older vehicle? Whilst I don't agree that the age of a vehicle actually guarantees any more safety than an older vehicle the overall experience of being transported in an older more rickerty but safe vehicle cannot be pleasant

if replacing a accident damaged hack any age (because of the price difference in a hackney to a private hire car) to get a new buisness hackney up to 10 years old

In the current economic climate who could afford to buy a new cab.As long as it passes the mot test and is in good condition ,why force someone to change their vehicle.If an age limit was to come in,I fear I would be forced off the road unable to afford to purchase a new cab.Even if I could afford to buy a new cab I would then change my working hours and stop working nights.Who wants to have house bricks and stones thrown at a nice shiney new cab?The only way I could buy a new vehicle would bi if the council were to maybe offer me an interest free loan of £34,000 over a 20 year period

interior inspection for passenger comfort should be considered

most hackneys are coach built so no restrictions should be applied.

provided the vehicle passes the VOSA test then it is deemed roadworthy, with possibly 6 monthly tests after a provising it is a proper hackney vehicle not TX4 etc not a van !!!!
replacment any age new buisness up to 10 years old Hackneys are so much more expensive compaired to a

replacment any age new buisness up to 10 years old. Hackneys are so much more expensive compaired to a normal car, there are no private hire cars out there that cost £30,000 a up to 3 year old Hackney costs £25,000-£30,000 how can you expect a hackney driver to aford a new 1, when a private hire driver can pay from £2,000 for a car under 6 years old. seems very 1 sided to me

'permanent' replacements and not temporary replacements such as when the vehicle subject to the existing licence is out of action. To permit a vehicle of any age to be licensed as a replacement vehicle could result in operators seeking a licence with a 'young' vehicle and then replacing the service with a vehicle of poorer quality. If older replacement vehicles were to be accepted, there needs to be suitable checks made before the replacement vehicle is put into operation to ensure that it is fit for purpose, and be subject to 6-monthly checks if older than 6 years (like PVHs).

THE HACKNEY TRADE IN WIRRAL IS HARD ENOUGH TRYING TO EARN A LIVING IN THE PRESENT ECONOMCAL CLIMATE. THE RULES SHOULD BE LEFT AS THEY ARE AS IT SEEMS WBC IS TRYING TO MA THINGS MORE DIFFICULT FOR HACKNEY DRIVERS.

There is no reason for altering the present regulations, providing the vehicle is capable of passing the required test. It is imposable to finance newer cabs on such meagre earnings.

they are a working vehicle used 365 days ayear, even looking after the vehicle with regular servicing and maintainance after 5 years you should be looking to replace your vehicle, if finances allow. With hackney carriage taxi's being road tested twice a year when they are over 10 years old they are generally in very good condition, and as long as the owner looks after his/her vehicle (a requirement by law anyway) you can well find an older taxi in better condition then say a 7 to 10 year old vehicle.

I say if its in good condition and passes everythink then it should be alright

If condition of vehicle is better and cleaner it would be fairer

As long as the vehilce meets the council's compliance examination, the age of the hackney carriage should <u>not</u> enter the equation

As long as the vehicle is a fit and proper condition to comply with the law. Age doesn't come into it.
So long as it has full MOT and is clean and tidy
The trade does not warrant new vehicles due to lack of work to support the up keep
And this would be if cab passes all tests and is fully road worth why not. As all other cars vans lorries buses that are on the road only have to do this
If your vehicle has a valid MOT it should be valid for 12 months regardless of age
The job doesn't warrant a 3 year or less vehicle as there isn't much money about
If the vehicle has a 12 month VOSA certificate. Then that should be enough
If it is road worthy
As long as vehicle in good condition and maintained age should not matter
Its not the plate that dose the work. It is the vehicle. If it passes its test you should be able to work it at any age
If the cab passed test wots the problem
If the cab one is in a good condition why not
If its roadworthy and MOTable you should be allowed to operate he vehicle
As long as the vehicle meets the required standards then there is no issue
If road worthy why not license
Got 2 b road worthy
Keep people working
If passes MOT what's wrong with it, a MOT says it's road worthy
As long as the cab passes test, any age

Should not be forced to re finance or into further debt
If the cab fits the criteria then it should be passed for the purpose, no matter what age
If the cab passes MOT its fit to be on the road
If its road legal and passes all relevant test why should its age be a factor
in to road regar and passes an relevant test mily enough the age so a taster
a sale massas assumed requirements for MOT and compliance them I feel it is fer mublic comics at any age
a cab passes council requirements for MOT and compliance then I feel it is for public service at any age
The age of the vehicle has no connection with a hackney carriage fitness test, I speak from experience having
previously owned a cab for thirty years
If it is fit and roadworthy
as long as it passes it MOT and its safe on road its safe for custermers
As long as pass the MOT and body job OK
As long as pass the MOT and body job Orc
New York
If its fit for purpose
If the cab is fit for the road and passes its test it should be allowed to be licensed as these cab are purpose built
If it passed the test why should it have to be 3 years on
As long as its fit for use
If the vehicle passes the stringent MOT test then there should no problem
if the vehicle passes the stilligent MOT test their there should no problem
A L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L
As long as the cab passes an MOT it shouldn't matter what age it is
If I buy acab which passes the compliance test, the age shouldn't matter
If it passes compliance test it should be licenced
A vehicle of any age should be OK if it has past the test
A Tomoro of any ago official bo of the trial past the tool